

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,828	05/08/2006	Per Wollmer	613-101	1945	
23117 77590 11/20/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SAMALA, JAGA	SAMALA, JAGADISHWAR RAO	
ARLINGTON,	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,828	WOLLMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA	1618	

000 4 4 0	, and the second						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA	1618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL-WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.1 after 51% (6) MOTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 1 yet statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing camed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-33</u> is/are pending in the application	n						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 19-33 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	×						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the drawing and according to the drawing according		- - - - - - - -					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		(4) == (6)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)	r-(u) or (r).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received						
Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	-						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
Attachment(s)		(DTO 110)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper Note Mail Date	6) Other:						

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information-Disclessure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CE) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/563,828

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 19-27, drawn to a reversed phase microemulsion comprising 5 to 35 wt% of non-polar animal or vegetable oil, 10 to 55 wt% of at least one polar solvent, and at least one surfactant.

Group II, claims 28 and 30, are drawn to a mouth or nasal spray device containing the microemulsion.

Group III, claim 29, drawn to a filter-device comprising the microemulsion.

Group IV, claim(s) 31-33, drawn to a method for trapping airborne particles directly or indirectly causing allergic rhinitis in a subject.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features:

The special technical feature shared by each invention is a reversed phase microemulsion comprising 5 to 35 wt% of non-polar animal or vegetable oil, 10 to 55 wt% of at least one polar solvent, and at least one surfactant. The micromulsion

Art Unit: 1618

composition comprising 30-90% oil phase, 5 to 50% aqueous phase and a surfactant of claim 19 does not present a contribution over the prior art since it is described in (US 6,506,803, see abstract and col. 10 lines 50-68). As a result, Group I does not share a special technical feature with the method and method of use claims of Group II-IV. Therefore, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept, and unity between Groups I-IV is broken.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

Art Unit: 1618

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C> 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Filling of appropriate terminal disclaimer in anticipation of a rejoinder may speed prosecution and the process of rejoinder.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1618

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the invention or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA whose telephone number is (571)272-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 A.M to 5.00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jagadishwar R Samala Examiner Art Unit 1618

Sjr

/Jake M. Vu/ Art Unit 1618